## Scoring the Team

An effective, efficient personnel system is essential for successful government. If the State cannot get the right people with the right skills in the right place, then public programs will not meet public needs. To improve performance, the State must monitor how well it attracts, hires and retains a qualified workforce. Performance information can guide management decisions, reveal the need for reform and allow senior officials to monitor improvement.

The federal government and a number of states, along with the private sector, have adopted measures to evaluate the performance of human resource systems. Drawing from national standards, the Commission has identified performance measures in five domains that could be used by the State. As an initial benchmark, the Commission offers its assessment of the State's standing on the measures. While some departments independently meet these standards, the Commission's assessment is based on enterprise-wide status. Ideally the State could use these measures to evaluate the progress of individual departments.

The measures shown here are grouped by domain, but each element of a personnel system is interrelated and interdependent. Failure to comprehensively assess all components will result in an inadequate evaluation. For example, high retention rates alone do not indicate a successful personnel system. Poor performance management coupled with high retention could mean that an agency is retaining mediocre or poor performers. Likewise, successful recruitment by itself does not indicate success. If the agency also has high turnover, improvements may be needed to align personnel capabilities with organizational goals.

For each measure the State is evaluated as meeting expectations (**M.E.**), making progress toward expectations (**P.T.E.**) or below expectations (**B.E.**). And where available, the Commission has included a reference to a state department that is meeting expectations or headed in that direction.

## California's Scorecard

		<del></del>		
Workforce Planning – To best meet public needs, state leaders must know who they currently employ and deploy that workforce strategically.		Below Expectations	Progress Toward Expectations	Meets Expectations
Know the workforce		✓		
Workforce data is collected and analyzed. Data includes:     • employee age • tenure • job satisfaction     • attrition rates and patterns • projected retirement rates     • retirement eligibility by position     • distribution of employee skills and competencies  M.E.: Data are complete and current. Data analysis informs immediate and long-term workforce planning.  P.T.E.: Workforce data are incomplete and not always timely.  B.E.: Workforce data are incomplete or out-of-date.		Rationale: California does not have an effective, reliable mechanism for collecting workforce data.  Meeting expectations: CalTrans  Workforce data are monitored monthly. Quarterly reports are distributed to division chiefs for workforce planning.		
Link the wo	orkforce plan to organizational goals	✓		
Workforce plans should be based on current data, identify goals and specify objectives required to achieve goals. Workforce data are integrated into the organization's decision-making process.  M.E.: Workforce plan is complete.  P.T.E.: Workforce plan is under development.  B.E.: Workforce plan has not been started.  Rationale: The State does not have centralized workforce plan.  Making progress: CalTrans  Workforce plans are being aligned management competencies and so objectives.		aligned with		

	System – Based on a workforce plan, departments must o recruit and hire skilled workers needed to meet public	Below Expectations	Progress Toward Expectations	Meets Expectations
Recruit effectively		✓		
Recruitment strategy produces a large pool of qualified and diverse applicants for each open position.  M.E.: Recruitment produces a quality applicant pool. Job descriptions and applications are accessible, specific and clear. Candidates understand application process.		Rationale: The State fails to recruit; hiring pools for management positions average just 13 candidates; and, the hiring process is nearly impenetrable.		
P.T.E.:	Some recruitment efforts take place, but do not produce a qualified applicant pool. Applications are accessible to potential applicants, but job descriptions are vague and confusing. The hiring process is unnecessarily complicated.	Meets expectations: Bureau of State Audits.  The bureau actively participates in care		
В.Е.:	Recruitment efforts are essentially nonexistent. The hiring process is confusing, job descriptions are vague, and applications are not readily available to potential applicants.	fairs and visits college campuses to recruit potential job candidates. In 2005, recruitment efforts helped attract applicants for 24 positions.		
Select strate	egically	✓		
Selection process ensures that candidates are accurately assessed, the applicant pool is efficiently winnowed and the most qualified candidates are hired.		Rationale: The State fails to select strategically. The examination process is		
M.E.: P.T.E.:	Positions are filled with the best people for the job. The interview and hiring processes are unambiguous and timely. Communication with candidates takes place throughout the selection process. Hiring flexibilities are employed and compensation packages are competitive. Positions are filled with minimally qualified candidates. Some communication with candidates takes place	unreliable and the best candidates can untapped.  Meets expectations: Bureau of State Audits.  Using a tiered selection process, and frequent communication with job candidates, the bureau efficiently revier candidate qualifications to select the beapplicants.		
B.E.:	throughout process. Hiring and compensation flexibilities are not fully leveraged.  Positions are unfilled, or filled with unqualified candidates. Selection process is unnecessarily complex and lengthy. No communication occurs with candidates throughout process. Hiring and compensation flexibilities do not exist or are not used.			
Evaluate re	sults	✓		
Hiring officials routinely conduct post-hire interviews with successful and unsuccessful candidates. Performance assessments of new hires are conducted and data are used to evaluate the performance of recruitment, selection and hiring functions.		Rationale: Some departments may asse hiring procedures better than others, but he State does not set enterprise-wide hiring standards, or routinely evaluate		
M.E.:	Recruitment, selection and hiring processes are continually evaluated. Changes are made to ensure goals are met.	progress. In 2003, the State Personn Board reviewed hiring procedures fo first time and found numerous		
P.T.E.:	Some evaluation of recruitment, selection and hiring processes occurs, but is not strategic. Improvements do not take place.	deficiencies. But the State has not systematically implemented reforms to address those deficiencies.		as not
B.E.:	Recruitment, selection and hiring processes are not evaluated.			

	- To retain excellence, state leaders must track who is he workforce and why, and make changes if appropriate.	Below Expectations	Progress Toward Expectations	Meets Expectations	
Track retention		✓			
	e satisfaction and turnover are monitored. Data are used workplace and management improvements.  Regular employee surveys and exit interviews are conducted and data are used to improve employee satisfaction and retention. Human resource management flexibilities are used effectively.  Data are not uniformly collected, or are not used to make improvements. Human resource management flexibilities are not fully utilized.  Employee satisfaction and turnover are not tracked. Human resource management flexibilities are nonexistent or are not used.	Rationale: Some departments track employee satisfaction and conduct exit interviews, but the State does not have a enterprise-wide procedure for monitoring turnover. And even though the State Personnel Board is authorized to spearhead strategies to improve retention that authority is not widely used.			
Assess com	pensation	✓			
understo	ponents that make compensation competitive are od. The adequacy of employee compensation is lly assessed.  Compensation packages are assessed and adjusted to achieve or maintain market competitiveness.  Compensation packages are regularly assessed but adequate adjustments are not made.  Compensation packages are not regularly assessed for market competitiveness.	Rationale: The State does not regularly assess the competitiveness of compensation or employee needs.  Meeting Expectations: CalPERS  The executive compensation program at CalPERS includes base salary ranges based on marketplace salary surveys that are conducted every two years, along			
		with other considerations.			
	th and safety ork environment is guaranteed.				
<b>M.E.:</b>	Potential hazards have been identified and corrected.  Loss data is analyzed. Safety training is provided.  Safety programs are up-to-date.	Rationale: The Commission has insufficient information to assess performance.  Meeting Expectations: CalTrans  The department actively documents hazards, provides job-specific safety training to each employee and issues biannual reports on safety.			
P.T.E.:	Workplace hazards are reacted to as they occur. Workforce receives minimal safety training. Safety programs are out-of-date.				
В.Е.:	Workplace hazards are ignored. Workers receive no safety training. Safety programs are not in place.				

vorkforce cap	d Development – To ensure that the State will have a pable of meeting future needs, state leaders must equip employees with essential skills.	Below Expectations	Progress Toward Expectations	Meets Expectations
ormulate a	training strategy	✓		
Data from assessment of current and future workforce needs are used to strategically align current and future needs with training investments.		<b>Rationale:</b> The State does not have a strategic training initiative.		
M.E.:	Training investments are strategic and meet current and future needs.	Meeting Expectations: Department of Social Services  The department has crafted a detailed professional management development program to enhance the leadership and management skills of employees. The program has been suspended due to budget cuts.		
P.T.E.:	Some strategic training takes place, but is not adequate			
В.Е.:	to meet current and future needs. Training resources are not allocated strategically.			
vest in dev	velopment	✓		
Training the workforce is a priority. Employees have the skills to meet organizational goals, are prepared to take on new responsibilities, and are capable of promoting into future vacancies.		Rationale: Workforce training is not a priority. Some departments do fund training programs, but in lean budget years, these programs are the first to go.		
M.E.:	All employees have received appropriate training and have the necessary skills to do the job. Workforce capacities are aligned with current and future needs.	Enterprise-wide, the State under invest training.		
P.T.E.:	Some employees have received appropriate training and have the necessary skills to do the job. Workforce capacities are not sufficient to meet current and future	Meeting Expectations. Department Financial Institutions.		artment of
	needs. Changes are made to improve programs accordingly.		ent invests in a	
B.E.:	Employees have not been trained and do not have the necessary skills to do the job. Workforce capacities are deficient in meeting current and future needs.	recognized leadership training model, offers scholarships to support employee self-development and establishes trainin support teams for each employee.		
ate quality	of training	✓		
Training programs are evaluated to determine whether they result		Rationale: Th	e State does no	ot routinely
in improv <b>M.E.:</b>	ed performance. Programs are improved accordingly.  Programs are evaluated by surveying managers.  Managers rate the utility of training and development	evaluate the utility of its training investments.  Making Progress. Department of Financial Institutions.  Training participants actively evaluate training programs. The department is currently assessing its training evaluation strategy and proposing reforms.		,
P.T.E.:	programs as improving employee performance. Programs are evaluated by surveying managers. Managers rate the utility of training and development programs as somewhat improving performance.			ent of
B.E.:	Changes are made to improve programs accordingly. Programs are not evaluated, or are evaluated inadequately.			artment is ng evaluation

requires that	ce Management – Maximizing workforce productivity state leaders motivate employees, differentiate based on and manage with integrity.	Below Expectations	Progress Toward Expectations	Meets Expectations
and trans procedur	reellence  //ees are motivated to make improvements, learn new skills in current and potential future positions. Management procedures are assessed regularly and improvements are made, if needed.  // Rationale: The State does not employ performance management strategies.  Rationale: The State does not employ performance management strategies.  Meeting Expectations: Department Parks and Recreation			
Employe	te between performance levels e performance is evaluated. Excellence is rewarded, and e or poor performance carries consequences. Employee performance evaluations are conducted and recognition programs are used effectively. Some employee performance evaluations have been conducted. Recognition programs are sometimes used. Employee performance evaluations have not been conducted. Recognition programs are not used.	Rationale: Compensation and other rewards fail to distinguish based on performance. Recognition programs go unused.  Meeting Expectations: Department of Parks and Recreation  Employees are evaluated for their performance and their contributions to departmental goals.		
they are a prohibite	nent policies and practices are scrutinized to ensure that applied fairly and consistently. Discrimination is d and equal employment opportunity (EEO) and inclusion pioned. EEO compliance statistics are utilized to address	workforce an EEO complia	e State has a did active EEO or nce statistics are used to demor	fficials. But e not widely

The performance measures shown here are a compilation of measures developed by the following sources: Virginia's Governor's Management Standards Scorecard, HumRRO, Electronic Recruiting Exchange, Staffing.org, International Public Management Association for Human Resources, Partnership for Public Service, OnPerformance, Federal Office of Personnel Management.

upheld in employee grievances and EEO cases.